UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,279	04/21/2006	Shilan Wu	60290-USA	1127
FMC Corporati	7590 04/30/200 on	EXAMINER		
Patent Adminis	trator	PAK, MICHAEL D		
1735 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/534,279	WU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Michael Pak	1646					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or application, applicant must timely file one of the followin application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of g replies: (1) an amendment, affidav peal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,							
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL	b).		·				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended on the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	consideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re		he issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1	* **	maniforst Amana desaut /	DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling t non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-31</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-17 and 32-43</u> .							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a 							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		-				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ion of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by The newly amended claim limitations have not been e	ntered thus the arguments to the nev	vly amended claim am	endments are				
not addressed. Applicants argue that the claimed met citation is from the dissenting opinion and majority hele that Brenner v. Mason did not rule the methods and or have utility. A product claim which lacks utility will not without utility. Applicants argue that claims have utility	d In re Fisher that claims do not have ally the product. However, the lack of have utility if the method claims are	e substantial utility. Ap holding does not cond drawn specifically to us	plicants argue clude that claims sing the product				
channel protein activity is useful for controlling harmfu organisms. However, while arguments supports a cre	organisms and in preventing infestadible utility, it still lacks substantial ar	tion or damage cause nd specific utility. No s	d by harmful				
have been shown to act on calcium channel of lepidoptera for controlling harmful organisms. 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Michael Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080424

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended claim amendment requires further consideration under 35 USC 101 and 112 as well as further search of the art..